

Review Article

The Role of NMDA Receptors in the Development of Brain Resistance through Pre- and Postconditioning

Leandra Celso Constantino¹, Carla Inês Tasca¹, Carina Rodrigues Boeck^{2*}

¹Departamento de Bioquímica, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina-UFSC, Campus Trindade, 88040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.

²Laboratório de Biologia Celular e Molecular, Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia Translacional em Medicina (INCT-TM), Programa de Pós-graduação Ciências da Saúde, Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense-UNESC, Criciúma, 88806-000, SC, Brazil.

[Received November 14, 2013; Revised January 30, 2014; Accepted February 9, 2014]

ABSTRACT: Brain tolerance or resistance can be achieved by interventions before and after injury through potential toxic agents used in low stimulus or dose. For brain diseases, the neuroprotection paradigm desires an attenuation of the resulting motor, cognitive, emotional, or memory deficits following the insult. Preconditioning is a well-established experimental and clinical translational strategy with great beneficial effects, but limited applications. NMDA receptors have been reported as protagonists in the adjacent cellular mechanisms contributing to the development of brain tolerance. Postconditioning has recently emerged as a new neuroprotective strategy, which has shown interesting results when applied immediately, i.e. several hours to days, after a stroke event. Investigations using chemical postconditioning are still incipient, but nevertheless represent an interesting and promising clinical strategy. In the present review pre- and postconditioning are discussed as neuroprotective paradigms and the focus of our attention lies on the participation of NMDA receptors proteins in the processes related to neuroprotection.

Key words: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, preconditioning, postconditioning, neuroprotection

Brain Tolerance

Brain tolerance represents the transient resistance of the cerebral tissue to a lethal insult, which is established by preconditioning with a mild insult of short duration [1]. The term preconditioning was introduced by Janoff [2] and describes the tolerance response of an organism or tissue as the result of protective mechanisms towards potentially recurrent challenges. In fact, any stimulus able to generate damage to an organism or tissue can, when applied below the damage threshold, activate endogenous protective mechanisms, which may mitigate the impact of subsequent stimuli, which are above the damage threshold [3]. The general principle of preconditioning is thus a state of cellular protection, resulting from the exposure to sublethal insults that

confer a significant tolerance to subsequent lethal insults [3,4].

The concept of preconditioning was first used to describe the tolerance towards ischemia in myocardial cells [5]. Ischemic preconditioning in the brain was described for neuroprotection promoted by a brief ischemic episode with respect to subsequent lethal ischemic events in several regions of the brain, e.g. the CA1 and CA3 areas in the hippocampus [6]. Further studies showed that brain tolerance towards lethal injury may be achieved after chemical, electrical or anoxic stimuli [1, 7-9]. It is hardly surprising that preconditioning attracted substantial attention as a novel therapeutic approach for neuroprotection, which could potentially also provide an improved mechanistic understanding of brain tolerance.

*Correspondence should be addressed to: Carina Rodrigues Boeck, Ph.D., Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense – UNESC, 88806-000, Criciúma, SC, Brazil. Email: cariboeck@hotmail.com.

Neuroprotection Paradigm

The process of neuroprotection disrupts or prevents a cascade, which occurs during the pathological processes of cell injury [10,11]. Excitotoxicity refers to toxicity caused by an increased concentration of glutamate during the synaptic transmission, which leads to neuronal death [12]. As excitotoxicity is associated with increased extracellular levels of glutamate, glutamate receptor antagonists can be used as neuroprotective agents [13].

Besides the excessive activation of glutamate receptors, it has been suggested that dysfunctions of the release and/or transport of glutamate occurs in acute and chronic forms of neuropathology, e.g. cerebral ischemia [14,15], traumatic brain injury (TBI) [16-18], as well as in neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's [19,20] and Alzheimer's disease [21]. The involvement of excitotoxicity has also been discussed in the context of some neuropsychiatric diseases, e.g. bipolar disorder [22], schizophrenia [23], and depression [24].

Excessive stimulation of glutamate receptors can provoke various deleterious effects, such as a massively increased influx of Ca^{2+} or the release of nitric oxide (NO) [25]. It has been shown that the Ca^{2+} influx through the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor is essential for glutamatergic excitotoxicity [26].

The hyperactivation of the Ca^{2+} -permeable ionotropic glutamate receptor (iGluR) is selectively activated by NMDA. Therefore, the NMDA receptor has been considered responsible for the cell death induced by excitotoxicity [27]. The influx of Ca^{2+} can moreover lead to an activation of toxic cascades, including the activation of catabolic enzymes such as phospholipases, proteases or endonucleases (e.g. caspases and calpains) [28]. Still, most of the Ca^{2+} ions are sequestered by the mitochondria, resulting in metabolic acidosis, inhibition of oxidative phosphorylation, opening of permeability transition pores, bioenergetic collapse, and the formation of free radicals from the impairment of the mitochondrial electron transport chain [29-31].

NMDA receptors are heteromeric complexes consisting of four subunits, each one comprising a different isoform: GluN1, GluN2 (GluN2A–GluN2D) and GluN3 (GluN3A and GluN3B). The different subunit composition of NMDA receptors shows distinct brain distribution, properties and regulation. Due to the composition of these heteromeric subunits, NMDA receptors show heterogeneous functionality and pharmacological characteristics [32]. NMDA receptors consist predominantly of the GluN1 form, which is, in combination with the presence of at least one GluN2 isoform, essential for the functionality of the receptor [33,34]. Extrasynaptic NMDA receptors containing

GluN2B have been linked to excitotoxicity, whereas synaptic NMDA receptors containing GluN2A have been associated with the trophic effects of these glutamate receptors, which are responsible for neuroprotection [35].

Several studies have demonstrated the involvement of NMDA receptors in the generation of endogenous neuroprotection in different models of preconditioning via the administration of various antagonists, such as MK-801 and ketamine [1, 7-9]. Despite the evidence resulting from different models, which implicate the activity of NMDA receptors in neuronal loss following ischemia, several clinical trials investigating distinct NMDA receptor antagonists failed to demonstrate positive effects against stroke events, presumably due to poor tolerance and/or efficacy [36]. Moreover, the complete inhibition of NMDA receptors has been shown to be ineffective in clinical trials [37]. On the other hand, the mild activation of NMDA receptors during preconditioning has been considered a more effective clinical strategy.

Preconditioning

Ischemic Preconditioning

For adults, cerebral ischemia is one of the most common causes of death and the main cause of disability. Its pathology is characterized by the interruption of cerebral blood flow, which results in a severe degeneration of neural cells and the loss of brain function [38,39]. In this context, the phenomenon of ischemic preconditioning, i.e. tissue exposure to a brief subtoxic insult, which results in an increased tolerance to a subsequent lethal ischemic event, has been extensively investigated as a neuroprotective strategy in experimental models of cerebral ischemia [3]. Studies have shown that preconditioning in ischemia [40], hypoxia [41] and hypothermia [42] models resulted in a protection against a subsequent ischemic injury in ischemia models using animals and neuron cultures [43,44].

One characteristic of ischemic injury, which is encountered especially in the hippocampus, is delayed cell death, which may be observed in the area affected by the infarct (ischemic core) resulting in a necrotic process [45-47]. Furthermore, apoptosis can occur after an ischemic event [48], triggered by a variety of noxious signals, including the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), neurotrophins, deficiencies of growth factors, as well as the induction of p53 protein, and the release of cytochrome c by mitochondrial damage [49,50]. These events appear to occur in the penumbral region of the ischemic event, where cell integrity can still be preserved

[51]. Parallel to the activation of a pathway of programmed cell death, the survival pathways activated in cells resistant to the ischemic injury should also be considered. In these cells, survival may promote the induction of neurotrophic factors, nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and heat shock proteins (HSP) [52-54]. These survival proteins, activated by the noxious signals of ischemia, are potentially linked to the generation of tissue tolerance.

Possible neurotransmitter systems involved in ischemic preconditioning include adenosine A_1 and NMDA-subtype glutamate receptors [55]. In agreement with this notion, a recent study showed that pre-incubation of hippocampal slices with MK-801 (an NMDA receptor antagonist) or 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX, an A_1 receptor antagonist) reduced the tolerance to a second oxygen and glucose deprivation (OGD) event. These results suggest that the activation of NMDA or A_1 receptors induce ischemic preconditioning in mice [56].

Chemical Preconditioning

A large variety of chemical compounds has been proposed as chemical agents inducing preconditioning, resulting in cardio- and neuroprotective effects. Among them are anesthetics, ethanol, selective ligands to the (GABA)ergic (gamma amino butyric acid) system, opioid and glutamate receptors, and K^+ channel activators.

Volatile anesthetics have also been used to afford cardio- and neuroprotection. Isoflurane and xenon for example induce early and late neuroprotection [57, 58]. Xenon can inhibit NMDA receptors, with little effect on GABA-A and non-NMDA glutamate receptors. The xenon-mediated preconditioning mechanism is connected to the activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) signaling pathway, the preservation of mitochondria function, and the inhibition of Ca^{2+} -induced mitochondrial transition permeability pore (MTPP) openings [59].

Agonists of the delta opioid receptors have also been reported to induce chemical preconditioning. Studies of ischemia and reperfusion suggest a potential correlation between opioid agonism and a reduction of the infarct size in models of regional ischemia, similar to that observed in the ischemic preconditioning [60]. Other preconditioning models used a moderate administration of ethanol, in order to protect neurons in cultures against β -amyloid-induced toxicity. Ethanol preconditioning is associated with elevated levels of HSP70, HSP27, and phospho-HSP27 in neuron cultures [61].

Moreover, many studies have emphasized the importance of the activation of mitochondrial ATP-sensitive potassium channels (mK^+_{ATP}) in the development of both acute and delayed ischemic tolerance [62]. Chemical activation of these mK^+_{ATP} channels with e.g. diazoxide has been shown to be protective against ischemia-induced cell death via a modulation of apoptotic proteins, a suppression of Bax translocation, and an inhibition of the release of cytochrome c [63]. In addition, a depolarization of the mitochondria caused by the activator of the mitochondrial mK^+_{ATP} channels induced a protective effect by the attenuation of oxidative stress [64].

Large-conductance Ca^{2+} activated K^+ (BK_{Ca}) channels, which are activated by depolarization and increase the cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration, play a regulatory role in physiological processes such as the neuronal excitability [65], and have also been recognized as a target in order to induce chemical preconditioning. The synthetic BK_{Ca} activator NS1619 was shown to induce depolarization of the mitochondria and increase ROS production, promoting acute and delayed preconditioning in cortical neuron cultures. However, the mechanism of neuroprotection seems to be independent from a direct activation of these K^+ channels [66, 67] and rely on caspase activation and the generation of ROS.

This review will focus on the activation of NMDA receptors as the main target for the induction of cellular tolerance by pre- or postconditioning.

NMDA preconditioning

Increased brain tolerance can be achieved by several induction mechanisms, e.g. by chemical, electrical or anoxic stimulus [3]. The role of NMDA receptors as a major factor in the induction of neuroprotection was clearly established by administering NMDA receptor antagonists such as MK-801 or ketamine [1, 7-9].

The dual function of NMDA, as a putative neuroprotective agent on one hand, and as a source of excitotoxicity on the other, has been discussed with respect to its activity at synaptic and extrasynaptic sites [68]. As previously mentioned, the extrasynaptic NMDA receptors (GluN2B) play a crucial role in excitotoxicity, whereas the synaptic NMDA receptors (GluN2A) are responsible for neuroprotection [35].

NMDA-related neuroprotection has also been observed in neuronal cell cultures: subtoxic concentrations of NMDA are able to prevent neuronal death induced by glutamate, NMDA [69-71], or OGD [72, 73]. Neuroprotection resulting from stimulation of NMDA receptors relies on trophic effects, as the activation of the BDNF and neurotrophin signaling

pathways protect neurons against glutamate excitotoxicity [74].

The intraperitoneal administration of a subtoxic dose of NMDA has also been evaluated with respect to a chemical preconditioning model against several lethal posterior stimuli *in vivo*. This NMDA administration *in vivo* offers neuroprotection for murine pyramidal hippocampal neurons against kainate-induced toxicity [75] and ischemia [76]. Our group has previously reported that NMDA preconditioning prevents seizures generated by intracerebroventricular administration of quinolinic acid (QA) in mice, where QA acts as an NMDA receptor agonist at the GluN2B subunit. Moreover, animals were protected from the necrotic cell death observed in the hippocampus as a result of the toxicity of QA [77, 78]. It is also noteworthy that subtoxic NMDA doses do not induce a hallmark parameter of apoptosis, i.e. DNA fragmentation in oligonucleosomes (Vandresen-Filho et al., unpublished observations).

The neuroprotective effect of NMDA is widely recognized, although the neural mechanisms involved in NMDA preconditioning are not completely understood. NMDA-mediated neuroprotection depends on the activation of A₁ receptors, because NMDA preconditioning could not be achieved when NMDA or A₁ receptors were blocked with selective antagonists [77]. However, blocking NMDA receptors with MK-801 neutralized even the neuroprotective effects against behavioral seizures and hippocampal cellular damage, which were promoted by NMDA preconditioning. The inhibition of A₁ receptors with the selective antagonist 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethylxanthine (CPT) also eliminated any neuroprotection against seizures, but did not alter the hippocampal protection, which was promoted by NMDA preconditioning. It is possible that NMDA preconditioning may involve different signaling pathways: one depending on the activation of NMDA receptors, and another modulating the activation of adenosine receptors. We are currently investigating the role of adenosine receptors in the mechanism of NMDA preconditioning. Recent data from our laboratory show that NMDA preconditioning slightly increases the binding affinity of adenosine A₁ receptors in the hippocampus. Additionally, the activation of A₁ receptors after NMDA preconditioning precludes some of the behavioral and functional responses caused by the preconditioning (Constantino et al., unpublished data). An *in vitro* evaluation of the role of adenosine receptors in the mechanism of NMDA preconditioning in cerebellar granule neurons revealed that preconditioning facilitates a desensitization of the A_{2A} receptor response. The resulting cyclic AMP (cAMP) accumulation favors the activation of A₁ receptors [71] and contributes to

NMDA-mediated preconditioning. The antagonistic effect of adenosine receptor activation is well understood and discussed elsewhere [79].

Our group has also investigated the intracellular signaling pathways involved in NMDA preconditioning. The inhibition of either protein kinase A (PKA) or PI3K pathway activation *in vivo* with selective inhibitors, completely eliminated any NMDA preconditioning against seizures induced by QA [80]. Additionally, the suppression of mitogen-activated protein kinase/kinase (MAPK-MEK) partially decreased the NMDA-mediated neuroprotection. Treatment with protein kinase C (PKC) or calcium-calmodulin dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitors did not alter the NMDA-generated protection. Thus, important signaling pathways involved in cellular protection such as PKA, PI3K, and MAPK are used in order to provide NMDA-induced neuroprotection. The activation sequence of these signaling pathways, i.e. which enzymes are upstream or downstream in this protection cascade, still remains to be investigated.

NMDA preconditioning is a time-dependent approach to protection. In this *in vivo* protocol, protection is established 24 hours after NMDA administration, maintained up to 48 hours, and no longer observable after 72 hours [77]. Considering the time-dependency of NMDA preconditioning, and in an attempt to better understand the molecular and cellular mechanisms related to the protection of the brain, a proteomic analysis of the hippocampus of mice subjected to NMDA preconditioning was performed [81]. A differential expression of proteins involved in translation, processing, maintenance of energy homeostasis, and modulation of glutamatergic transmission was observed. Within the time-frame of possible neuroprotection after NMDA administration (24 h), proteins involved in protein processing (e.g. aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, HSP70) as well as proteins related to cellular bioenergetics (e.g. creatine kinase) were up-regulated. Simultaneously, a down-regulation of the vacuolar-type proton ATPase catalytic subunit was observed. This is the same protein, which is expressed in synaptic vesicles and is responsible for affording energy for neurotransmitter accumulation. Considering the mechanisms related to preconditioning, it might be speculated that the resulting neuroprotection depends - as previously shown - on protein synthesis [82], as well as on protein processing, increased cellular bioenergetics, and decreased extracellular glutamate levels.

Regarding cellular bioenergetics, the modulation of oxidative stress resulting from an imbalance between ROS production and depletion may also be involved in the protective mechanism of preconditioning. The concept that preconditioning induced by ischemia could be related to an initial oxidative stress event was

supported by the observation of an increased activity of antioxidant enzymes, e.g. catalase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the hippocampus and striatum. However, the increased enzyme activity was not necessarily accompanied by a complete inhibition of neurodegeneration [83]. By using antioxidants [84], it was possible to show that initial oxidative stress could be responsible for triggering preconditioning, whereas antioxidant enzymes did not function as end-effectors in such neuroprotection. When we were evaluating antioxidant glutathione levels and the activity of glutathione related enzymes in mice models of NMDA preconditioning *in vivo*, we observed that the glutathione metabolism might not interfere directly with the tolerance level induced by the NMDA preconditioning [85].

Since Ca^{2+} ions permeate the NMDA receptors and increase neuronal excitability, it is very important to remember that the mild activation of NMDA receptors (probably mainly at the synaptic and not the extrasynaptic sites) does not reach the threshold level of toxicity. However, the mechanisms of neuroprotection or excitotoxicity caused by NMDA seem to differ only with regards to intensity and site of action. Accordingly, preconditioning doses of NMDA promote neuroprotection by enhancing neuronal excitability levels [86]. Using an *in vivo* model of NMDA preconditioning [77], we assessed the electroencephalographic responses of the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of mice with respect to a subconvulsant dose of NMDA and a convulsant dose of QA [87]. With these experiments, we confirmed that 50% of mice were protected against QA-induced seizures after NMDA preconditioning [77,85]. Although the electroencephalographic results did not allow us to deduce a behavioral generalization of the seizures, they showed that NMDA preconditioning induced spike-wave discharges. Moreover, the same 50% of the mice, which were protected against behavioral seizures, exhibited an increased number of spike-wave discharges relative to the mice, which experienced seizures. Therefore, we concluded that we recorded an increased electroencephalographic excitability, when NMDA preconditioning afforded neuroprotection. In addition, we observed a negative correlation between the number of NMDA-induced spike-wave discharges and the severity of QA-induced seizures, which we evaluated with the help of the Vandresen-Filho scale of QA-induced seizures [87]. Accordingly, it can be argued that the increasing excitability induced by NMDA preconditioning results in an increased protection against behavioral seizures.

In this context, it is worth mentioning a recent study, which aimed to evaluate the energy metabolism in the

brain of NMDA preconditioned mice. Besides the widely reviewed QA-induced seizures, NMDA preconditioning was also tested against an *in vivo* model, where mice were subjected to TBI. There, NMDA preconditioning prevented a gait distortion of mice suffering from a mild TBI and improved the affected locomotor parameters such as coordination, balance, and sensorimotoric activity [88]. Mice subjected to NMDA preconditioning and subsequent TBI showed elevated activity levels for the mitochondria as the master organelle in preconditioning-triggered neuroprotection. A significant increase in mitochondrial complex II was observed in preconditioned mice and in those subjected to trauma [31]. Again, a similar response for the triggering mechanism of NMDA-mediated neuroprotection and for the event-inducing neurotoxicity was observed.

It seems therefore feasible to conclude that the increased excitability, the induction of mild oxidative stress, the modulation of bioenergetics, the ionic homeostasis, and the modulation of glutamatergic transmission within non-excitotoxic levels comprise the underlying mechanisms for NMDA-mediated preconditioning.

Postconditioning

The clinical approach of preconditioning has obvious limitations, e.g. the inability to predict the onset of the injury. However, it has been indicated that cell mechanisms evoked by preconditioning can be reproduced after the injury. Postconditioning is a neuroprotective strategy that has been studied well for ischemic events, where the reperfusion period is controlled or reduced [89]. Pharmacological postconditioning, as a protection strategy against delayed neuronal death, has been intensively studied in recent years [90-93]. Protective cell pathways activated by postconditioning are - at least in part - identical to those activated in preconditioning. However, postconditioning has the advantage of being able to be applied after the insult. Several studies discuss basic approaches to postconditioning and show two main methods of how this can be achieved: i) rapid postconditioning, where the interruption of reperfusion occurs between minutes and hours after the injury [94,95], and ii) delayed postconditioning, where treatment is applied between hours and days after the incident [96,97]. Neuroprotective signaling pathways, triggered by different conditioning strategies in the brain share some common mediators, e.g. inflammatory cytokines, NO, and the activation of anti-apoptotic proteins. Recently, a growing number of reports have contributed to the understanding of the underlying mechanisms of conditioning effects. This knowledge will permit the

development of translational strategies for the clinical practice in order to induce brain resistance.

Ischemic Postconditioning

Protection arising from postconditioning was initially studied within the context of myocardial damage induced by ischemia [98] and this knowledge has subsequently been transferred to the field of protection against damage following cerebral ischemia. Rapid ischemic postconditioning can be achieved in rats by three cycles of brief obstruction (10 s) of the bilateral common carotid artery (CCA) followed by reperfusion (30 s), combined with a permanent occlusion of the distal middle cerebral artery (dMCA) [94]. It was observed that postconditioning reduced the size of the infarcts in the cerebral cortex two days after ischemia. This means that postconditioning could be induced by repetitive series of brief interruptions of the reperfusion applied after ischemia, conferring neuroprotection probably by an attenuation of the reperfusion-induced injury. Following these findings, several other groups have focused their attention on postconditioning as a viable strategy for the repair of damage resulting from stroke incidents in animal models and in clinical studies. Mechanical postconditioning, induced by four cycles of occlusion-reperfusion (1 min/1 min) via the inflation and deflation of a balloon, reduced the size of infarcts resulting from microvascular obstruction in human patients suffering from acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) [99]. More promising results were obtained for patients sustaining acute myocardial infarcts [100]. These results indicate that postconditioning could potentially be a safe method to induce neuroprotection, although preclinical and clinical trials remain necessary in order to confirm this hypothesis efficiently.

Brain injury following ischemia can be effectively attenuated in animal models when ischemic postconditioning is applied. Ischemic postconditioning ameliorates brain edema and decreases the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) leakage induced by focal cerebral ischemia (occlusion of the middle cerebral artery) [97]. Since glutamate and ROS play a critical role in ischemic damage, their depletion is pivotal for neuroprotection. In fact, ischemic postconditioning increases the levels of glutamine synthetase in the hippocampus of rats. These elevated glutamine synthetase levels contribute to neuroprotection by rapidly converting glutamate to glutamine in the glia, resulting in decreased extracellular glutamate levels after the injury [93]. Concomitantly, the contents of glutamate transporter 1 (GLT-1) were increased following ischemic postconditioning, contributing to the clearance of glutamate [101]. Moreover, the reduction of protein oxidation is

accompanied by an increase in SOD (MnSOD and CuZnSOD) and catalase activity levels, which in turn decrease intracellular ROS concentrations [102]. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) plays an important role in ischemic pre- and postconditioning, since the generation of NO is crucial for the vascular functioning and homeostasis [103,104].

Matrix metalloproteinases-9 (MMP-9) degrades extracellular matrix components contributing to BBB leakage [105] and the co-expression of MMP-9 and activated-caspase-3 following ischemic stroke events was recently observed for humans. These proteins were co-expressed in the nuclear compartment of glial and neuronal cells at perilesional areas from post-mortem cortical tissue fragments in aged humans [106]. Ischemic postconditioning in rats was reported to reduce the expression of MMP-9, attenuating the focal cerebral ischemia-induced reduction of laminin and fibronectin expression, thus preserving the BBB integrity after injury [107].

Intracellular signaling activated by ischemic postconditioning includes the inhibition of MTPP openings due to an increased influx of Ca^{2+} (during ischemia and reperfusion), a depletion of ATP during ischemia, and the formation of ROS [108,109]. Mitochondrial integrity is closely related to the production of ATP, which in turn is increased by the opening of $\text{mK}^{+}_{\text{ATP}}$ channels in the brain. This induces a depolarization potential on the mitochondrial membrane and thus promotes an increase of the electron transport chain activity [110]. Postconditioning administered to humans suffering from transient limb ischemia reduced the endothelial injury after ischemia via a mechanism involving $\text{mK}^{+}_{\text{ATP}}$ channels [111]. In rat models, delayed remote limb ischemic postconditioning was reported to be neuroprotective when $\text{mK}^{+}_{\text{ATP}}$ channels were activated [112]. Members of the Bcl-2 (anti- and proapoptotic) protein family are located in the outer mitochondria membranes and they control the activation of downstream caspase-9 and -3 enzymes, which represent critical intracellular factors in the mitochondria-mediated apoptosis pathway [113]. Ischemic postconditioning elevates the content of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins, decreases the content of proapoptotic Bax proteins and down-regulates the proteins caspase-3, -6 and -9 in the hippocampus of rats, which were subjected to early global brain ischemia mitigating cell death by apoptosis [114,115]. Another important neuroprotective pathway associated with ischemic postconditioning involves increased phosphorylation of PI3K/Akt [95] and its activity [116]. Besides PI3K/Akt, other proteins are involved: postconditioning inhibits the cleavage of δPKC and enhances phospho- ϵPKC levels. Moreover, it reduces

phosphorylation of MAPK pathways including c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) [116,117]. Altogether, the signaling of mK^{+}_{ATP} channels, as well as Akt and ϵ PKC proteins contribute to the inhibition of the MTPP openings and provide a neuroprotective effect after ischemic postconditioning.

In cortical neuron cultures, postconditioning may be induced via hypoxia (0.1% O_2) 14 hours after OGD. Protective effects arise through angiogenesis proteins such as hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) and its target genes, erythropoietin and adrenomedullin [118]. Hypobaric hypoxia also improves neuronal survival efficiently *in vivo*. However, only delayed postconditioning provides an emotional behavioral recovery (plus-maze task) and an associated increase in corticosterone hormonal levels [119].

In summary, studies reporting the beneficial effects of ischemic postconditioning indicate that postconditioning shares the cellular mechanisms activated when neuroprotection is achieved by preconditioning.

Chemical Postconditioning

After understanding neuroprotection induced by ischemic or hypoxic postconditioning, the next challenge for the clinical translation is the control of brain resistance after injury using pharmacological approaches. Until now, only few studies have investigated the effects of pharmacological postconditioning (with the exception of anesthetics) with respect to neuroprotective effects. The anesthetics isoflurane and sevoflurane can be used as postconditioning agents at early reperfusion stages of strokes *in vivo* or OGD *in vitro* [120,121]. Isoflurane postconditioning reduces brain infarcts and attenuates the neurological damage in rats after cerebral ischemia [120]. In rat models and in cortical neuron cultures, anesthetics provide protection via activation of the PI3K/Akt pathway [122,123] and increased expression of HIF-1 α and the inducible NOS (iNOS) gene [124,125]. Isoflurane postconditioning moreover involves an inhibition of CaMKII [126]. CaMKII is regulated by the complex Ca^{2+} /calmodulin, which is highly expressed in the brain and further enriched at excitatory synapses and their postsynaptic densities (PSDs). CaMKII α can interact with a variety of proteins in the PSD, including proteins at the NMDA receptor complex. Interestingly, CaMKII α exhibits a higher binding affinity towards GluN2B relative to GluN1 [127]. McMurtey and Zuo [126] suggested isoflurane postconditioning-induced neuroprotection to involve an inhibition of NMDA receptors, i.e. the exact opposite mechanism shown for

the preconditioning, in which the activation of NMDA receptors is crucial to neuroprotection [7].

In fact, the PSD protein complex is pivotal for basic glutamate transmission and the generation of synaptic potentiation. Postconditioning with the sedative propofol inhibits the internalization of α -Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors, containing GluA2 subunits, which is indicative of an active participation of AMPA receptors during postconditioning-induced brain resistance. This was supported by the observed reduced infarct volume and improved spatial memory after ischemia/reperfusion injuries [128]. However, ischemic postconditioning also attenuates the binding of the PSD-95 protein to kainate receptors containing GluK2 subunits [129]. The participation of iGluRs in neuroprotection induced by postconditioning is still unclear and requires further elucidation.

In contrast, glutamate receptor ligands potentially induce postconditioning against hippocampal ischemia. Low doses of the group I metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGlu1 and mGlu5) agonist 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG) were reported to protect organotypic hippocampal slices from OGD-induced cell damage in a mode dependent on Akt activation [90]. Also, low doses of kainate administered 48 hours after ischemia resulted in recovered spine density and prevented long-term potentiation (LTP) impairment [92].

Our group is currently investigating the potential neuroprotection in mice induced by a low dose of NMDA applied after mild TBI. Preliminary data show that NMDA postconditioning attenuates recognition memory deficits 48 hours after a mild TBI, and hippocampal cell death 96 hours after the trauma (Bavaresco et al., unpublished data). NMDA is more effective when administered 15 minutes after TBI, rather than 1 hour later, indicating that NMDA receptors can be a target of neuroprotection in accordance with the postconditioning paradigm.

From the Laboratory to the Clinical stage

The studies discussed in this review report *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, i.e. modifications in cell or organotypic cultures as well as in rodents. All of these investigated pre- and postconditioning as neuroprotective strategies. Moreover, most of the studies aim to unravel the mechanisms operative in these neuroprotective approaches, which can be considered either as preventive or as neuroprotective rescue strategies. From a translational point of view, preconditioning may find clinical applications in prophylactic situations, e.g. inducing neuroprotection in patients, who are undergoing

brain surgery or suffer from subarachnoid hemorrhages and are exposed to an elevated risk of immediate brain injury. In this scenario, chemical preconditioning represents an excellent therapeutic approach compared to other forms of protection such as surgical preconditioning, since those procedures can potentially be harmful.

On the other hand, postconditioning is also an interesting therapeutic approach, since the window of application lies after the diagnosis of a stroke or TBI. There is consensus in the scientific literature that the intervention against cerebral damage can be better controlled using pharmacological strategies. Still, little is known about chemical postconditioning, its mechanisms and effects. The pursuit of basic research into chemical preconditioning will therefore furnish a better comprehension of the underlying mechanisms and signaling pathways associated with the development of tissue tolerance, and ultimately provide the foundation for future clinical applications. Accordingly, there is hardly any doubt that further in-depth investigations are required in order to reveal the mechanisms by which pharmacological agents induce neuroprotection after cerebral injury, so that patients can be treated more efficiently in the future.

References

- [1] Rejdak R, Rejdak K, Sieklucka-Dziuba M, Stelmasiak Z, and Grieb P (2001). Brain tolerance and preconditioning. *Pol J Pharmacol*, 53: 73-79
- [2] Janoff A (1964). Alterations in Lysosomes (Intracellular Enzymes) during Shock; Effects of Preconditioning (Tolerance) and Protective Drugs. *Int Anesthesiol Clin*, 2: 251-269
- [3] Dirnagl U, Simon RP, and Hallenbeck JM (2003). Ischemic tolerance and endogenous neuroprotection. *Trends Neurosci*, 26: 248-254
- [4] Mergenthaler P, Dirnagl U, and Meisel A (2004). Pathophysiology of stroke: lessons from animal models. *Metab Brain Dis*, 19: 151-167
- [5] Murry CE, Jennings RB, and Reimer KA (1986). Preconditioning with ischemia: a delay of lethal cell injury in ischemic myocardium. *Circulation*, 74: 1124-1136
- [6] Kitagawa K, Matsumoto M, Kuwabara K, Tagaya M, Ohtsuki T, Hata R, Ueda H, Handa N, Kimura K, and Kamada T (1991). 'Ischemic tolerance' phenomenon detected in various brain regions. *Brain Res*, 561: 203-211
- [7] Bond A, Lodge D, Hicks CA, Ward MA, and O'Neill MJ (1999). NMDA receptor antagonism, but not AMPA receptor antagonism attenuates induced ischaemic tolerance in the gerbil hippocampus. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 380, 91-99
- [8] Schaller B and Graf R (2002). Cerebral ischemic preconditioning. An experimental phenomenon or a clinical important entity of stroke prevention? *J Neurol*, 249: 1503-1511
- [9] Samoilov MO, Lazarevich EV, Semenov DG, Mokrushin AA, Tyul'kova EI, Romanovskii DY, Milyakova EA, and Dudkin KN (2003). The adaptive effects of hypoxic preconditioning of brain neurons. *Neurosci Behav Physiol*, 33: 1-11
- [10] McIntosh TK (1993). Novel pharmacologic therapies in the treatment of experimental traumatic brain injury: a review. *J Neurotrauma*, 10: 215-261
- [11] Gagliardi RJ (2000). Neuroprotection, excitotoxicity and NMDA antagonists. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*, 58, 583-588
- [12] Meldrum BS (2000). Glutamate as a neurotransmitter in the brain: review of physiology and pathology. *J Nutr*, 130: 1007S-1015S
- [13] Dempsey RJ, Baskaya MK, and Dogan A (2000). Attenuation of brain edema, blood-brain barrier breakdown, and injury volume by ifenprodil, a polyamine-site N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist, after experimental traumatic brain injury in rats. *Neurosurgery*, 47: 399-404
- [14] Jorgensen MB and Diemer NH (1982). Selective neuron loss after cerebral ischemia in the rat: possible role of transmitter glutamate. *Acta Neurol Scand* 66, 536-546
- [15] Tymianski M (2011). Emerging mechanisms of disrupted cellular signaling in brain ischemia. *Nat Neurosci*, 14: 1369-1373
- [16] Baker AJ, Moulton RJ, MacMillan VH, and Shedden PM (1993). Excitatory amino acids in cerebrospinal fluid following traumatic brain injury in humans. *J Neurosurg*, 79: 369-372
- [17] Faden AI, Demediuk P, Panter SS, and Vink R (1989). The role of excitatory amino acids and NMDA receptors in traumatic brain injury. *Science*, 244: 798-800
- [18] Koura SS, Doppenberg EM, Marmarou A, Choi S, Young HF, and Bullock R (1998). Relationship between excitatory amino acid release and outcome after severe human head injury. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*, 71, 244-246
- [19] Boll MC, Alcaraz-Zubeldia M, and Rios C (2011). Medical management of Parkinson's disease: focus on neuroprotection. *Curr Neuropharmacol*, 9: 350-359
- [20] Chen L, Liu J, Ali U, Gui ZH, Hou C, Fan LL, Wang Y, and Wang T (2011). Chronic, systemic treatment with a metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 antagonist produces anxiolytic-like effects and reverses abnormal firing activity of projection neurons in the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala in rats with bilateral 6-OHDA lesions. *Brain Res Bull*, 84: 215-223
- [21] Cassano T, Serviddio G, Gaetani S, Romano A, Dipasquale P, Cianci S, Bellanti F, Laconca L, Romano AD, Padalino I, LaFerla FM, Nicoletti F, Cuomo V, and Vendemiale G (2012). Glutamatergic alterations and mitochondrial impairment in a murine model of Alzheimer disease. *Neurobiol Aging*, 33: 1121 e1121-1112

- [22] Ginsberg SD, Hemby SE, and Smiley JF (2012). Expression profiling in neuropsychiatric disorders: emphasis on glutamate receptors in bipolar disorder. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 100: 705-711
- [23] Moghaddam B and Javitt D (2012). From revolution to evolution: the glutamate hypothesis of schizophrenia and its implication for treatment. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 37:4-15
- [24] Sanacora G, Zarate CA, Krystal JH, and Manji HK (2008). Targeting the glutamatergic system to develop novel, improved therapeutics for mood disorders. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 7: 426-437
- [25] Lau A and Tymianski M (2010). Glutamate receptors, neurotoxicity and neurodegeneration. *Pflugers Arch*, 460: 525-542
- [26] Choi DW (1985). Glutamate neurotoxicity in cortical cell culture is calcium dependent. *Neurosci Lett*, 58: 293-297
- [27] Stone TW and Addae JI (2002). The pharmacological manipulation of glutamate receptors and neuroprotection. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 447: 285-296
- [28] Hynd MR, Scott HL, and Dodd PR (2004). Glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem Int*, 45: 583-595
- [29] Nichols RA, Dengler AF, Nakagawa EM, Bashkin M, Paul BT, Wu J, and Khan GM (2007). A constitutive, transient receptor potential-like Ca^{2+} influx pathway in presynaptic nerve endings independent of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels and $\text{Na}^{+}/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange. *J Biol Chem*, 282: 36102-36111
- [30] Ryter SW, Kim HP, Hoetzel A, Park JW, Nakahira K, Wang X, and Choi AM (2007). Mechanisms of cell death in oxidative stress. *Antioxid Redox Signal*, 9: 49-89
- [31] Boeck CR, Carbonera LS, Milioli ME, Constantino LC, Garcez ML, Rezin GT, Scaini G, and Streck EL (2013). Mitochondrial respiratory chain and creatine kinase activities following trauma brain injury in brain of mice preconditioned with N-methyl-D-aspartate. *Mol Cell Biochem*, in press
- [32] Gonda X (2012). Basic pharmacology of NMDA receptors. *Curr Pharm Des*, 18: 1558-1567
- [33] Hardingham GE (2009). Coupling of the NMDA receptor to neuroprotective and neurodestructive events. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 37: 1147-1160
- [34] Cull-Candy S, Brickley S, and Farrant M (2001). NMDA receptor subunits: diversity, development and disease. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 11: 327-335
- [35] Vizi ES, Kisfali M, and Lorincz T (2013). Role of nonsynaptic GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors in excitotoxicity: evidence that fluoxetine selectively inhibits these receptors and may have neuroprotective effects. *Brain Res Bull*, 93: 32-38
- [36] Muir KW (2006). Glutamate-based therapeutic approaches: clinical trials with NMDA antagonists. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*, 6: 53-60
- [37] Ikonomidou C and Turski L (2002). Why did NMDA receptor antagonists fail clinical trials for stroke and traumatic brain injury? *Lancet Neurol*, 1: 383-386
- [38] Liu XQ, Sheng R, and Qin ZH (2009). The neuroprotective mechanism of brain ischemic preconditioning. *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica*, 30: 1071-1080
- [39] Mattson MP and Magnus T (2006). Ageing and neuronal vulnerability. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 7: 278-294
- [40] Chen J and Simon R (1997). Ischemic tolerance in the brain. *Neurology*, 48: 306-311
- [41] Pugliese AM, Latini S, Corradetti R, and Pedata F (2003). Brief, repeated, oxygen-glucose deprivation episodes protect neurotransmission from a longer ischemic episode in the in vitro hippocampus: role of adenosine receptors. *Br J Pharmacol*, 140: 305-314
- [42] Nishio S, Yunoki M, Chen ZF, Anzivino MJ, and Lee KS (2000). Ischemic tolerance in the rat neocortex following hypothermic preconditioning. *J Neurosurg*, 93: 845-851
- [43] Murry CE, Richard VJ, Reimer KA, and Jennings RB (1990). Ischemic preconditioning slows energy metabolism and delays ultrastructural damage during a sustained ischemic episode. *Circ Res*, 66: 913-931
- [44] Tauskela JS, Aylsworth A, Hewitt M, Brunette E, and Mealing GA (2012). Preconditioning induces tolerance by suppressing glutamate release in neuron culture ischemia models. *J Neurochem*, 122: 470-481
- [45] Garcia JH, Liu KF, and Ho KL (1995). Neuronal necrosis after middle cerebral artery occlusion in Wistar rats progresses at different time intervals in the caudoputamen and the cortex. *Stroke*, 26: 636-642
- [46] Rathmell JC and Thompson CB (1999). The central effectors of cell death in the immune system. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 17: 781-828
- [47] Wong CH, Bozinovski S, Hertzog PJ, Hickey MJ, and Crack PJ (2008). Absence of glutathione peroxidase-1 exacerbates cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury by reducing post-ischemic microvascular perfusion. *J Neurochem*, 107: 241-252
- [48] Nakka VP, Gusain A, Mehta SL, and Raghurib R (2008). Molecular mechanisms of apoptosis in cerebral ischemia: multiple neuroprotective opportunities. *Mol Neurobiol*, 37: 7-38
- [49] Love S (2003). Apoptosis and brain ischaemia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*, 27: 267-282
- [50] Zhang F, Yin W, and Chen J (2004). Apoptosis in cerebral ischemia: executional and regulatory signaling mechanisms. *Neurological Research*, 26: 835-845
- [51] Sharp FR, Lu A, Tang Y, and Millhorn DE (2000). Multiple molecular penumbras after focal cerebral ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 20: 1011-1032
- [52] Andersen MB and Sams-Dodd F (1998). Impairment of working memory in the T-maze after transient global cerebral ischemia in the Mongolian gerbil. *Behav Brain Res*, 91: 15-22
- [53] Sastry PS and Rao KS (2000). Apoptosis and the nervous system. *J Neurochem*, 74: 1-20
- [54] Woodgate A, Walton M, MacGibbon GA, and Dragunow M (1999). Inducible transcription factor expression in a cell culture model of apoptosis. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res*, 66: 211-216

- [55] Perez-Pinzon MA (2007). Mechanisms of neuroprotection during ischemic preconditioning: lessons from anoxic tolerance. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol*, 147: 291-299
- [56] Chauhan NK, Young AM, Gibson CL, and Davidson C (2013). Inhibition of pre-ischemic conditioning in the mouse caudate brain slice by NMDA- or adenosine A1 receptor antagonists. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 698: 322-329
- [57] Li Q, Lian C, Zhou R, Li T, Xiang X, and Liu B (2013). Pretreatment with xenon protected immature rabbit heart from ischaemia/reperfusion injury by opening of the mitoKATP channel. *Heart Lung Circ*, 22: 276-283
- [58] Liu W, Liu Y, Chen H, Liu K, Tao H, and Sun X (2013). Xenon preconditioning: molecular mechanisms and biological effects. *Med Gas Res*, 3: 3
- [59] Mio Y, Shim YH, Richards E, Bosnjak ZJ, Pagel PS, and Bienengraeber M (2009). Xenon preconditioning: the role of prosurvival signaling, mitochondrial permeability transition and bioenergetics in rats. *Anesth Analg*, 108: 858-866
- [60] Dragasis S, Bassiakou E, Iacovidou N, Papadimitriou L, Andreas Steen P, Gulati A, and Xanthos T (2013). The role of opioid receptor agonists in ischemic preconditioning. *Eur J Pharmacol*, in press
- [61] Collins MA, Neafsey EJ, Wang K, Achille NJ, Mitchell RM, and Sivaswamy S (2010). Moderate ethanol preconditioning of rat brain cultures engenders neuroprotection against dementia-inducing neuroinflammatory proteins: possible signaling mechanisms. *Mol Neurobiol*, 41: 420-425
- [62] Busija DW, Lacza Z, Rajapakse N, Shimizu K, Kis B, Bari F, Domoki F, and Horiguchi T (2004). Targeting mitochondrial ATP-sensitive potassium channels--a novel approach to neuroprotection. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*, 46: 282-294
- [63] Liu D, Lu C, Wan R, Auyeung WW, and Mattson MP (2002). Activation of mitochondrial ATP-dependent potassium channels protects neurons against ischemia-induced death by a mechanism involving suppression of Bax translocation and cytochrome c release. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 22: 431-443
- [64] Nagy K, Kis B, Rajapakse NC, Bari F, and Busija DW (2004). Diazoxide preconditioning protects against neuronal cell death by attenuation of oxidative stress upon glutamate stimulation. *J Neurosci Res*, 76: 697-704
- [65] Ghatta S, Nimmagadda D, Xu X, and O'Rourke ST (2006). Large-conductance, calcium-activated potassium channels: structural and functional implications. *Pharmacol Ther*, 110: 103-116
- [66] Gaspar T, Katakam P, Snipes JA, Kis B, Domoki F, Bari F, and Busija DW (2008). Delayed neuronal preconditioning by NS1619 is independent of calcium activated potassium channels. *J Neurochem*, 105: 1115-1128
- [67] Gaspar T, Domoki F, Lenti L, Katakam PV, Snipes JA, Bari F, and Busija DW (2009). Immediate neuronal preconditioning by NS1619. *Brain Res*, 1285: 196-207
- [68] Vanhoutte P and Bading H (2003). Opposing roles of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in neuronal calcium signalling and BDNF gene regulation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 13: 366-371
- [69] Chuang DM, Gao XM, and Paul SM (1992). N-methyl-D-aspartate exposure blocks glutamate toxicity in cultured cerebellar granule cells. *Mol Pharmacol*, 42: 210-216
- [70] Dickie BG, Holmes C, and Greenfield SA (1996). Neurotoxic and neurotrophic effects of chronic N-methyl-D-aspartate exposure upon mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons in organotypic culture. *Neuroscience*, 72: 731-741
- [71] Boeck CR, Kroth EH, Bronzatto MJ, and Vendite D (2005). Adenosine receptors co-operate with NMDA preconditioning to protect cerebellar granule cells against glutamate neurotoxicity. *Neuropharmacology*, 49: 17-24
- [72] Pringle AK, Thomas SJ, Signorelli F, and Iannotti F (1999). Ischaemic pre-conditioning in organotypic hippocampal slice cultures is inversely correlated to the induction of the 72 kDa heat shock protein (HSP72). *Brain Res*, 845: 152-164
- [73] Valentim LM, Rodnight R, Geyer AB, Horn AP, Tavares A, Cimarosti H, Netto CA, and Salbego CG (2003). Changes in heat shock protein 27 phosphorylation and immunocontent in response to preconditioning to oxygen and glucose deprivation in organotypic hippocampal cultures. *Neuroscience*, 118: 379-386
- [74] Jiang X, Tian F, Mearow K, Okagaki P, Lipsky RH, and Marini AM (2005). The excitoprotective effect of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors is mediated by a brain-derived neurotrophic factor autocrine loop in cultured hippocampal neurons. *J Neurochem*, 94: 713-722
- [75] Ogita K, Okuda H, Yamamoto Y, Nishiyama N, and Yoneda Y (2003). In vivo neuroprotective role of NMDA receptors against kainate-induced excitotoxicity in murine hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Neurochem*, 85: 1336-1346
- [76] Miao B, Yin XH, Pei DS, Zhang QG, and Zhang GY (2005). Neuroprotective effects of preconditioning ischemia on ischemic brain injury through down-regulating activation of JNK1/2 via N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-mediated Akt1 activation. *J Biol Chem*, 280: 21693-21699
- [77] Boeck CR, Ganzella M, Lottermann A, and Vendite D (2004). NMDA preconditioning protects against seizures and hippocampal neurotoxicity induced by quinolinic acid in mice. *Epilepsia*, 45: 745-750
- [78] Piermartiri TC, Vandresen-Filho S, de Araujo Herculano B, Martins WC, Dal'agnolo D, Stroeh E, Carqueja CL, Boeck CR, and Tasca CI (2009). Atorvastatin prevents hippocampal cell death due to quinolinic acid-induced seizures in mice by increasing Akt phosphorylation and glutamate uptake. *Neurotox Res*, 16: 106-115
- [79] Ciruela F, Fernandez-Duenas V, Llorente J, Borroto-Escuela D, Cuffi ML, Carbonell L, Sanchez S, Agnati LF, Fuxe K, and Tasca CI (2012). G protein-coupled receptor oligomerization and brain integration: focus on adenosinergic transmission. *Brain Res*, 1476: 86-95

- [80] de Araujo Herculano B, Vandresen-Filho S, Martins WC, Boeck CR, and Tasca CI (2011). NMDA preconditioning protects against quinolinic acid-induced seizures via PKA, PI3K and MAPK/ERK signaling pathways. *Behav Brain Res*, 219: 92-97
- [81] do Amaral e Silva Muller G, Vandresen-Filho S, Tavares CP, Menegatti AC, Terenzi H, Tasca CI, and Severino PC (2013). Proteomic analysis of the mice hippocampus after preconditioning induced by N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA). *J Mol Neurosci*, 50: 154-164
- [82] Marini AM and Paul SM (1992). N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-mediated neuroprotection in cerebellar granule cells requires new RNA and protein synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 89: 6555-6559
- [83] Danielisova V, Nemethova M, Gottlieb M, and Burda J (2005). Changes of endogenous antioxidant enzymes during ischemic tolerance acquisition. *Neurochem Res*, 30: 559-565
- [84] Puisieux F, Deplanque D, Bulckaen H, Maboudou P, Gele P, Lhermitte M, Lebuffe G, and Bordet R (2004). Brain ischemic preconditioning is abolished by antioxidant drugs but does not up-regulate superoxide dismutase and glutathion peroxidase. *Brain Res*, 1027: 30-37
- [85] Vandresen-Filho S, de Araujo Herculano B, Franco JL, Boeck CR, Dafre AL, and Tasca CI (2007). Evaluation of glutathione metabolism in NMDA preconditioning against quinolinic acid-induced seizures in mice cerebral cortex and hippocampus. *Brain Res*, 1184: 38-45
- [86] Soriano FX, Papadia S, Hofmann F, Hardingham NR, Bading H, and Hardingham GE (2006). Preconditioning doses of NMDA promote neuroprotection by enhancing neuronal excitability. *J Neurosci*, 26: 4509-4518
- [87] Vandresen-Filho S, Hoeller AA, Herculano BA, Duzzioni M, Duarte FS, Piermartiri TC, Boeck CC, de Lima TC, Marino-Neto J, and Tasca CI (2012). NMDA Preconditioning Attenuates Cortical and Hippocampal Seizures Induced by Intracerebroventricular Quinolinic Acid Infusion. *Neurotox Res*, 24: 55-62
- [88] Costa T, Constantino LC, Mendonca BP, Pereira JG, Herculano B, Tasca CI, and Boeck CR (2010). N-methyl-D-aspartate preconditioning improves short-term motor deficits outcome after mild traumatic brain injury in mice. *J Neurosci Res*, 88: 1329-1337
- [89] Tsang A, Hausenloy DJ, and Yellon DM (2005). Myocardial postconditioning: reperfusion injury revisited. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 289: H2-7
- [90] Scartabelli T, Gerace E, Landucci E, Moroni F, and Pellegrini-Giampietro DE (2008). Neuroprotection by group I mGlu receptors in a rat hippocampal slice model of cerebral ischemia is associated with the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway: a novel postconditioning strategy? *Neuropharmacology*, 55: 509-516
- [91] Danielisova V, Gottlieb M, Nemethova M, Kravcukova P, Domorakova I, Mechirova E, and Burda J (2009). Bradykinin postconditioning protects pyramidal CA1 neurons against delayed neuronal death in rat hippocampus. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*, 29: 871-878
- [92] Nagy D, Kocsis K, Fuzik J, Marosi M, Kis Z, Teichberg VI, Toldi J, and Farkas T (2011). Kainate postconditioning restores LTP in ischemic hippocampal CA1: onset-dependent second pathophysiological stress. *Neuropharmacology*, 61, 1026-1032
- [93] Zhang W, Miao Y, Zhou S, Jiang J, Luo Q, and Qiu Y (2011). Neuroprotective effects of ischemic postconditioning on global brain ischemia in rats through upregulation of hippocampal glutamine synthetase. *J Clin Neurosci*, 1: 685-689
- [94] Zhao H, Sapolsky RM, and Steinberg GK (2006). Interrupting reperfusion as a stroke therapy: ischemic postconditioning reduces infarct size after focal ischemia in rats. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 26: 1114-1121
- [95] Pignataro G, Meller R, Inoue K, Ordonez AN, Ashley MD, Xiong Z, Gala R, and Simon RP (2008). In vivo and in vitro characterization of a novel neuroprotective strategy for stroke: ischemic postconditioning. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 28, 232-241
- [96] Burda J, Danielisova V, Nemethova M, Gottlieb M, Matiasova M, Domorakova I, Mechirova E, Ferikova M, Salinas M, and Burda R (2006). Delayed postconditioning initiates additive mechanism necessary for survival of selectively vulnerable neurons after transient ischemia in rat brain. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*, 26: 1141-1151
- [97] Ren C, Gao X, Niu G, Yan Z, Chen X, and Zhao H (2008). Delayed postconditioning protects against focal ischemic brain injury in rats. *PLoS One*, 3: e3851
- [98] Na HS, Kim YI, Yoon YW, Han HC, Nahm SH, and Hong SK (1996). Ventricular premature beat-driven intermittent restoration of coronary blood flow reduces the incidence of reperfusion-induced ventricular fibrillation in a cat model of regional ischemia. *Am Heart J*, 132: 78-83
- [99] Mewton N, Thibault H, Roubille F, Lairez O, Rioufol G, Sportouch C, Sanchez I, Bergerot C, Cung TT, Finet G, Angoulvant D, Revel D, Bonnefoy-Cudraz E, Elbaz M, Piot C, Sahraoui I, Croisille P, and Ovize M (2013). Postconditioning attenuates no-reflow in STEMI patients. *Basic Res Cardiol*, 108: 383
- [100] Staat P, Rioufol G, Piot C, Cottin Y, Cung TT, L'Huillier I, Aupetit JF, Bonnefoy E, Finet G, André-Fouët X, Ovize M. (2005). Postconditioning the human heart. *Circulation*, 14: 2143-2148
- [101] Zhang W, Miao Y, Zhou S, Wang B, Luo Q, and Qiu Y (2010). Involvement of Glutamate Transporter-1 in Neuroprotection against Global Brain Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury Induced by Postconditioning in Rats. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 11: 4407-4416
- [102] Nemethova M, Danielisova V, Gottlieb M, Kravcukova P, and Burda J (2010). Ischemic postconditioning in the rat hippocampus: mapping of proteins involved in reversal of delayed neuronal death. *Arch Ital Biol*, 148: 23-32
- [103] Gidday JM, Shah AR, Maceren RG, Wang Q, Pelligrino DA, Holtzman DM, and Park TS (1999). Nitric oxide mediates cerebral ischemic tolerance in a

- neonatal rat model of hypoxic preconditioning. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 19: 331-340
- [104] Peng B, Guo QL, He ZJ, Ye Z, Yuan YJ, Wang N, and Zhou J (2012). Remote ischemic postconditioning protects the brain from global cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury by up-regulating endothelial nitric oxide synthase through the PI3K/Akt pathway. *Brain Res*, 1445: 92-102
- [105] Rosell A, Ortega-Aznar A, Alvarez-Sabin J, Fernandez-Cadenas I, Ribo M, Molina CA, Lo EH, and Montaner J (2006). Increased brain expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 after ischemic and hemorrhagic human stroke. *Stroke*, 37: 1399-1406
- [106] Pirici D, Pirici I, Mogoanta L, Margaritescu O, Tudorica V, Margaritescu C, Ion DA, Simionescu C, and Coconu M (2012). Matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression in the nuclear compartment of neurons and glial cells in aging and stroke. *Neuropathology* 32, 492-504
- [107] Liu XR, Luo M, Yan F, Zhang CC, Li SJ, Zhao HP, Ji XM, and Luo YM (2012). Ischemic postconditioning diminishes matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression and attenuates loss of the extracellular matrix proteins in rats following middle cerebral artery occlusion and reperfusion. *CNS Neurosci Ther*, 18: 855-863
- [108] Sun J, Luan Q, Dong H, Song W, Xie K, Hou L, and Xiong L (2012). Inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening contributes to the neuroprotective effects of ischemic postconditioning in rats. *Brain Res*, 1436: 101-110
- [109] Hausenloy DJ, Duchon MR, and Yellon DM (2003). Inhibiting mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening at reperfusion protects against ischaemia-reperfusion injury. *Cardiovascular Research*, 60: 617-625
- [110] Andrukhiv A, Costa AD, West IC, and Garlid KD (2006). Opening mitoKATP increases superoxide generation from complex I of the electron transport chain. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 291: H2067-2074
- [111] Loukogeorgakis SP, Williams R, Panagiotidou AT, Kolvekar SK, Donald A, Cole TJ, Yellon DM, Deanfield JE, and MacAllister RJ (2007). Transient limb ischemia induces remote preconditioning and remote postconditioning in humans by a K(ATP)-channel dependent mechanism. *Circulation*, 116: 1386-1395
- [112] Sun J, Tong L, Luan Q, Deng J, Li Y, Li Z, Dong H, and Xiong L (2012). Protective effect of delayed remote limb ischemic postconditioning: role of mitochondrial K(ATP) channels in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemic reperfusion injury. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*, 32: 851-859
- [113] Danial NN and Korsmeyer SJ (2004). Cell death: critical control points. *Cell*, 116: 205-219
- [114] Ding ZM, Wu B, Zhang WQ, Lu XJ, Lin YC, Geng YJ, and Miao YF (2012). Neuroprotective Effects of Ischemic Preconditioning and Postconditioning on Global Brain Ischemia in Rats through the Same Effect on Inhibition of Apoptosis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 13: 6089-6101
- [115] Zhang W, Wang B, Zhou S, and Qiu Y (2012). The effect of ischemic post-conditioning on hippocampal cell apoptosis following global brain ischemia in rats. *J Clin Neurosci* 19, 570-573
- [116] Gao X, Zhang H, Takahashi T, Hsieh J, Liao J, Steinberg GK, and Zhao H (2008). The Akt signaling pathway contributes to postconditioning's protection against stroke; the protection is associated with the MAPK and PKC pathways. *J Neurochem* 105: 943-955
- [117] Zhan L, Li D, Liang D, Wu B, Zhu P, Wang Y, Sun W, and Xu E (2012). Activation of Akt/FoxO and inactivation of MEK/ERK pathways contribute to induction of neuroprotection against transient global cerebral ischemia by delayed hypoxic postconditioning in adult rats. *Neuropharmacology* 63, 873-882
- [118] Leconte C, Tixier E, Freret T, Toutain J, Saulnier R, Boulouard M, Roussel S, Schumann-Bard P, and Bernaudin M (2009). Delayed hypoxic postconditioning protects against cerebral ischemia in the mouse. *Stroke*, 40: 3349-3355
- [119] Rybnikova E, Vorobyev M, Pivina S, and Samoilov M (2012). Postconditioning by mild hypoxic exposures reduces rat brain injury caused by severe hypoxia. *Neurosci Lett*, 513: 100-105
- [120] Lee JJ, Li L, Jung HH, and Zuo Z (2008). Postconditioning with isoflurane reduced ischemia-induced brain injury in rats. *Anesthesiology*, 108: 1055-1062
- [121] Adamczyk S, Robin E, Simerabet M, Kipnis E, Tavernier B, Vallet B, Bordet R, and Lebuffe G (2010). Sevoflurane pre- and post-conditioning protect the brain via the mitochondrial K ATP channel. *Br J Anaesth*, 104: 191-200
- [122] Wang JK, Yu LN, Zhang FJ, Yang MJ, Yu J, Yan M, and Chen G (2010). Postconditioning with sevoflurane protects against focal cerebral ischemia and reperfusion injury via PI3K/Akt pathway. *Brain Res*, 1357: 142-151
- [123] Li L and Zuo Z (2011). Isoflurane postconditioning induces neuroprotection via Akt activation and attenuation of increased mitochondrial membrane permeability. *Neuroscience*, 199: 44-50
- [124] Fang Li Q, Xu H, Sun Y, Hu R, and Jiang H (2012). Induction of inducible nitric oxide synthase by isoflurane post-conditioning via hypoxia inducible factor-1alpha during tolerance against ischemic neuronal injury. *Brain Res*, 1451: 1-9
- [125] Ye Z, Guo Q, Xia P, Wang N, Wang E, and Yuan Y (2012). Sevoflurane postconditioning involves an up-regulation of HIF-1alpha and HO-1 expression via PI3K/Akt pathway in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res*, 1463: 63-74
- [126] McMurtrey RJ and Zuo Z (2010). Isoflurane preconditioning and postconditioning in rat hippocampal neurons. *Brain Res*, 1358: 184-190
- [127] Leonard AS, Bayer KU, Merrill MA, Lim IA, Shea MA, Schulman H, and Hell JW (2002). Regulation of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II docking to N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors by calcium/

- calmodulin and alpha-actinin. *J Biol Chem*, 277: 48441-48448
- [128] Wang H, Luo M, Li C, and Wang G (2011). Propofol post-conditioning induced long-term neuroprotection and reduced internalization of AMPAR GluR2 subunit in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemia/reperfusion. *J Neurochem*, 119: 210-219
- [129] Liu J, Xu Q, Wang H, Wang R, and Hou XY (2013). Neuroprotection of ischemic postconditioning by downregulating the postsynaptic signaling mediated by kainate receptors. *Stroke*, 44: 2031-2035